# Fast centromeric repeat turnover provides a glimpse into satellite DNA evolution in *Nothobranchius* annual killifishes

- 3 Anna Voleníková<sup>1,2</sup>, Karolína Lukšíková<sup>1,3</sup>, Pablo Mora<sup>2,4</sup>, Tomáš Pavlica<sup>1,5</sup>, Marie
- 4 Altmanová<sup>1,6</sup>, Jana Štundlová<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Šárka Pelikánová<sup>1</sup>, Sergey A. Simanovsky<sup>7</sup>, Marek
- 5 Jankásek<sup>1,5</sup>, Martin Reichard<sup>8,9,10</sup>, Petr Nguyen<sup>1,2¶\*</sup>, Alexandr Sember<sup>1¶\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Liběchov, Czech
   Republic
- 8 <sup>2</sup> University of South Bohemia, Faculty of Science, České Budějovice, Czech Republic
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Genetics and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague,
   Czech Republic
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Experimental Biology, Genetics Area, University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
- <sup>7</sup> Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow,
- 15 Russia
- 16 <sup>8</sup> Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech
- 19 Republic
- 20
- 21 \* Correspondence: sember@iapg.cas.cz (AS); petr.nguyen@prf.jcu.cz (PN)
- 22
- 23 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work.

- 25 ORCID IDs:
- 26 A.V.: 0000-0002-9504-8044
- 27 P.M.: 0000-0001-7967-3379
- 28 M.A.: 0000-0001-7193-8918
- 29 J.S.: 0000-0001-7890-1608
- 30 S.A.S: 0000-0002-0830-7977
- 31 M.J.: 0000-0002-1467-8790
- 32 M.R.: 0000-0002-9306-0074
- 33 P.N.: 0000-0003-1395-4287
- 34 A.S.: 0000-0003-4441-9615
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39 40
- 40 41
- 42
- 42 43
- 43 44
- 45

#### 46 Abstract

47 Satellite DNA (satDNA) is rapidly evolving class of tandem repeats with some motifs being involved in centromere organization and function. Rapid co-evolution of centromeric satDNA 48 49 and associated proteins has been mostly attributed to the so-called centromere drive. To 50 identify repeats associated with centromeric regions and test for the role of meiotic drive in 51 their evolution, we investigated satDNA across Southern and Coastal clades of African annual 52 killifishes of the genus Nothobranchius. C-banding showed expansion of (peri)centromeric 53 heterochromatin regions in the Southern-clade killifishes. Molecular cytogenetic and 54 bioinformatic analyses further revealed that two previously identified satellites, Nfu-SatA and 55 Nfu-SatB, are associated with centromeres only in one lineage of the Southern clade. Nfu-56 SatB was, however, detected outside centromeres also in other members of the Coastal clade, 57 which is consistent with the "library" hypothesis of satDNA evolution. We also identified a novel satDNA, CI-36, associated with (peri)centromeres in N. foerschi, N. guentheri and N. 58 59 rubripinnis from the Coastal clade. Our findings could be explained by centromere drive 60 shaping karyotype change and centromeric repeat turnover in Nothobranchius species with 61 possible reversal of spindle polarity within the Southern clade.

62

63 **Keywords:** centromere drive; chromosome; constitutive heterochromatin; library hypothesis;

- 64 RepeatExplorer; repetitive sequences; satDNA
- 65
- 66

## 67 **1. Introduction**

African killifishes from the genus Nothobranchius (Peters, 1868) (Aplocheiloidei: 68 69 Nothobranchiidae) are small freshwater fishes with bigger and more colorful males compared 70 to smaller and dull females (Wildekamp 2004, Berois et al. 2016). The genus is monophyletic 71 and currently comprises over 90 species (Nagy and Watters, 2021, Fricke et al. 2022) 72 partitioned into seven evolutionary lineages (van der Merwe et al. 2021). Nothobranchius spp. 73 are adapted to periodic droughts driven by cycles of rainy and dry seasons in south-eastern 74 African savannahs, where they survive in isolated pools, temporarily flooded by rainwater 75 (Blažek et al. 2013, Furness 2016, Cellerino et al. 2016). Having the shortest life cycle among vertebrates, the turquoise killifish N. furzeri (Jubb, 1971) became a popular model system for 76 77 aging research (Cellerino et al. 2016, Hu and Brunet 2018). Besides, the unique biology of 78 killifishes offers many advantages for studies related to developmental biology, population 79 dynamics and evolution (Cellerino et al. 2016, Terzibasi Tozzini and Cellerino 2020). For 80 instance, their mating system and sexual dimorphism make them attractive for studies of 81 reproductive isolation and sexual selection (Berois et al. 2016, Cellerino et al. 2016).

82 Nothobranchius killifishes became of interest also to genome and sex chromosome 83 research. Studies reported high repetitive DNA content in Nothobranchius genomes (Reichwald et al. 2009, 2015, Cui et al. 2019, Štundlová et al. 2022) and wide variation in 84 85 diploid chromosome numbers (2n = 16-50) and karyotype structures in 73 studied representatives (Krysanov et al. 2016, Krysanov and Demidova 2018, Krysanov et al. 2023). 86 87 Moreover, a multiple sex chromosome system of the  $X_1X_2Y$  type has been cytogenetically 88 identified in six distant Nothobranchius spp., which suggests remarkable sex chromosome 89 evolution (Ewulonu et al. 1985, Krysanov et al. 2016, Krysanov and Demidova 2018). 90 Intriguingly, the N. furzeri genome sequence revealed an XY sex chromosome pair with 91 polymorphic size of a non-recombining region in different populations (Reichwald et al. 2015, 92 Willemsen et al. 2020). It was hypothesized that the N. furzeri Y chromosome polymorphism 93 represents an early stage of sex chromosome evolution (Reichwald et al. 2015). However, 94 physical mapping of various repeats in *N. furzeri* and its sister species *N. kadleci* revealed that 95 repetitive DNA landscape differs considerably between their X and Y chromosomes and these 96 differences extend beyond the non-recombining regions. One particular difference between 97 the X and Y chromosomes was a largely reduced block of constitutive heterochromatin in the centromeric region on Y chromosomes in two out of three examined populations (Štundlová et 98 99 al. 2022). This region overlapped with hybridization signals of fluorescence in situ hybridization 100 (FISH) with two repeats associated with *N. furzeri* centromeres, the Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB (Reichwald et al. 2009; Štundlová et al. 2022). 101

102 Certain satellite DNAs (satDNA), i.e. tandemly repeated DNA class with rapid molecular 103 evolution (Plohl et al. 2012, Garrido-Ramos 2017, Thakur et al. 2021), can be associated with 104 centromeres (Melters et al. 2013, Hartley and O'Neill 2019, Talbert and Henikoff 2020) and 105 thus are considered to be involved in segregation of chromosomes during cell divisions 106 (Henikoff et al. 2001, McKinley and Cheesman 2016). Yet despite their rather conservative 107 function, centromeric satDNAs turn over very fast (Henikoff et al. 2001, Bracewell et al. 2019, 108 Ávila Robledillo et al. 2020, Nishihara et al. 2021). It has been hypothesized that rapid co-109 evolution of both centromeric DNA and associated proteins is mainly driven by centromere 110 drive (Henikoff et al. 2001). The hypothesis postulates that homologous chromosomes differ 111 in their capability to bind spindle microtubules and thus can segregate non-randomly exploiting 112 the asymmetric female meiosis, which produces three polar bodies (i.e. the evolutionary dead-113 ends) and only one egg (Henikoff et al. 2001, Kursel and Malik 2018, Kumon and Lampson 114 2022). Deleterious segregation errors induce selective pressure, which fuels the evolution of 115 involved proteins and DNA repeats, thus suppressing the drive (Henikoff et al. 2001, Kumon 116 and Lampson 2022).

117 Hence, it was hypothesized that the reduction in centromeric clusters of the Nfu-SatA 118 and Nfu-SatB repeats on Y chromosomes observed in N. furzeri reflects relaxed selection 119 imposed by centromere drive (Štundlová et al. 2022), as the Y chromosome never passes 120 through female meiosis (cf. Yoshida and Kitano 2012, Pokorná et al. 2014). Unfortunately, 121 nothing is known about killifish centromeric organization outside N. furzeri and N. kadleci 122 (Reichwald et al. 2009, 2015; Stundlová et al. 2022) and little is known about the centromere 123 organization in teleost fishes in general. Rather than identifying sequences which bind 124 centromeric proteins (Cech and Peichel 2016, Ichikawa et al. 2017), the available studies have 125 focused mainly on sequences associated with centromeres, detected either by molecular or 126 bioinformatic methods and physically mapped by means of *in situ* hybridization (Ferreira et al. 127 2010, Suntronpong et al. 2020, Stornioli et al. 2021, Goes et al. 2022, 2023, Kretschmer et al. 128 2022). More recently, these sequences have been inferred directly from long read sequencing 129 data (Ichikawa et al. 2017, Conte et al. 2019, Varadharajan et al 2019, Tao et al. 2021). These 130 are typically satellite sequences presumably containing conserved motifs such as the CENP-131 B box needed for chromosome stability and cell division (Suntronpong et al. 2016; Gamba and 132 Fachinetti 2020). Centromeric tandem repeats seem to be homogenized at higher rates in 133 teleost fishes compared to other vertebrates (Suntronpong et al. 2020) and their evolutionary 134 dynamics seems to reflect centromeric position with those of acrocentrics being more 135 conserved (Ichikawa et al. 2017).

In the present study, we analyzed repetitive sequences across the representatives of
 *Nothobrachnius* genus by means of RepeatExplorer2 bioinformatic pipeline (Novák et al. 2020)
 to identify repeats associated with centromeric regions and to look for evidence of centromere

139 drive. Our results suggest that Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB are associated with centromeres only 140 in one lineage of the Southern clade, although Nfu-SatB can be detected also in 141 representatives of the Coastal clade, in agreement with the "library" hypothesis (i.e. the 142 existence of shared collection of satDNA repeats among related species, with varied degree 143 of their amplification and contraction; Fry and Salser 1977, Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2016). We also 144 identified novel repeat associated with centromeres in the Coastal-clade species. Based on 145 the presence of larger (peri)centromeric heterochromatin blocks observed in the Southern-146 clade species but not in other studied representatives, we discuss a possible reversal of 147 spindle orientation in the common ancestor of this clade.

148

# 149 **2. Materials and Methods**

150

# 151 2.1 Fish sampling

152 We analyzed individuals of 14 species representing the Southern and Coastal clade (seven 153 and five species, respectively) of the genus Nothobranchius, with N. ocellatus and 154 Fundulosoma thierryi as their outgroups. The studied individuals from N. orthonotus, N. 155 kuhntae, N. pienaari, N. rachovii, N. eggersi and N. rubripinnis were sampled from laboratory 156 populations recently derived from wild-caught individuals and were previously identified based 157 on morphology and the phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA markers (for 158 details, see Bartáková et al. 2015; Blažek et al. 2017; Reichard et al. 2022). The remaining 159 species were obtained from specialists and experienced hobby breeders who keep strictly 160 population-specific lineages derived from original imports. In this case, the species identity was 161 confirmed on the basis of key morphological characters (Wildekamp 1996, 2004; Watters et 162 al. 2008, 2020; Nagy 2018). The detailed information is provided in Table 1.

163

164 **Table 1**. List of *Nothobranchius* killifish species used in this study along with their sample sizes

165 (N) and origin.

Clade	Species	Code	N*	Source / locality
outgroup	Fundulosoma thierryi Ahl, 1924	FTH	1♂, 3♀	aquarium strain
Southern clade	Nothobranchius furzeri Jubb, 1971	NFU	1♂, 1♀	Chefu, MZ
	<i>N. kadleci</i> Reichard, 2010	NKA	1♂, 1 <b>♀</b>	Gorongosa, MZ
	N. orthonotus (Peters, 1844)	NOR	3♂, 3♀	Limpopo, MZ
	N. kuhntae (Ahl, 1926)	NKU	<b>4</b> ♂, <b>3</b> ♀	Pungwe, MZ
	N. pienaari Shidlovskyi, Watters & Wildekamp, 2010	NPI	2♂, 3♀	Limpopo, MZ
	N. krysanovi Shidlovskyi, Watters & Wildekamp, 2010	NKR	2♂, 2♀	Quelimane, MZ
	<i>N. rachovii</i> Ahl, 1926	NRA	<b>2</b> ♂, <b>2</b> ♀	Beira Airport, MZ
Ocellatus clade	N. ocellatus (Seegers, 1985)	NOC	1∂, 1♀	Nyamwage, TZ
Coastal clade	<i>N. eggersi</i> Seegers, 1982	NEG	<b>2</b> ♂, 1♀	Bagamoyo, TZ
	N. foerschi Wildekamp & Berkenkamp, 1979	NFO	<b>2</b> ්	Soga, TZ
	N. guentheri (Pfeffer, 1983)	NGU	4♂, 3♀	Zanzibar, TZ
	N. cardinalis Watters, Cooper & Wildekamp, 2008	NCA	<b>1</b> ්	Matandu, TZ
	N. rubripinnis Seegers, 1986	NRU	<b>2</b> ♂, <b>2</b> ♀	Kitonga, TZ

<sup>\*</sup> number and sex of individuals used for each method is specified in Supplementary Table 1

## 167 2.2 Chromosomal preparations

168 Mitotic chromosome spreads were obtained either i) from regenerating caudal fin tissue (Völker 169 and Ráb 2015) with modification described in Sember et al. (2015) and a fin regeneration time 170 ranging from one to two weeks, or ii) by a direct preparation from the cephalic kidney following 171 Ráb and Roth (1988) and Kligerman and Bloom (1977), with in the latter protocol being 172 modified according to Krysanov and Demidova (2018). In the kidney-derived preparations, the 173 chromosomal spreading quality was enhanced using a dropping technique by Bertollo et al. 174 (2015). Preparations were inspected with phase-contrast optics and those of sufficient quality 175 were dehydrated in an ethanol series (70%, 80%, and 96%, 2 min each) and stored at -20 °C 176 until use.

177

# 178 2.3 Constitutive heterochromatin staining

Analysis of constitutive heterochromatin distribution was done by C-banding (Haaf and Schmid 180 1984), using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenolindole (DAPI) (1.5  $\mu$ g/mL in anti-fade; Cambio, 181 Cambridge, UK) counterstaining. Fluorescent staining with the GC-specific fluorochrome 182 Chromomycin A<sub>3</sub> (CMA<sub>3</sub>) and the AT-specific fluorochrome DAPI (both Sigma-Aldrich, St. 183 Louis, MO, USA) was performed according to Mayr et al. (1985) and Sola et al. (1992).

184

# 185 2.4 Whole-genome sequencing data

186 Genomic DNA was sequenced de novo in Nothobranchius quentheri, N. kadleci, N. orthonotus, 187 *N. rachovii* and *N. rubripinnis*. First, high molecular weight genomic DNA (HMW gDNA) was 188 extracted from three females of each species using a MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Qiagen, 189 Hilden, Germany), following the provided protocol. Next, Illumina paired-end libraries with 450 190 bp insert size were prepared from the isolated HMW gDNA and sequenced on the NovaSeq 191 6000 platform at Novogene (HK) Co., Ltd. (Hong Kong, China), yielding, at least, 5 Gb (ca 3.3× 192 coverage of Nothobranchius furzeri genome; 1C = 1.54 Gb, Reichwald et al. 2009). Resulting 193 data were deposited into the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession numbers XXX -194 XXX. In *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*, sequencing data from three female specimen were obtained 195 from the SRA (accession numbers ERR583470, ERR58471, SRR1261480; Reichwald et al. 2015, and XXX, XXX, XXX; Štundlová et al. 2022, respectively). 196

197

# 198 2.5 Analysis of repetitive DNA

The satellitome was characterized using RepeatExplorer2 (Novák et al. 2020). Prior to the analysis, the quality of raw Illumina reads was checked using FastQC (version 0.11.5; Andrews 2010). Low quality reads and adapter sequences were removed using cutadapt (version 1.15; Martin 2011) with settings for two-color chemistry: '--nextseq-trim=20 -u -50 -U -50 -m 100 -a AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT

#### 204 A

205 GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCACNNNNNATCTCGTATGCCGTCTTCTG 206 CTTG'. For comparative analysis, 1,600,000 reads (ca 0.1× genome coverage of N. furzeri) 207 were pseudorandomly subsampled from each biological replica of each species and resulting 208 subsets were concatenated and analyzed together. The RepeatExplorer2 pipeline was run on 209 the Galaxy server (The Galaxy Community 2022) with Metazoa version 3.0 protein domain 210 database and automatic filtering of abundant repeats. In addition, the repeats were studied in 211 each species independently, using a set of 7,125,000 reads (ca 0.5× coverage) and equivalent 212 RepeatExplorer2 parameters. Calculation of G+C content and reciprocal BLAST were 213 performed in GeneiousPrime (version 2020.1.2; https://www.geneious.com). To target 214 potential centromeric repeats, the results of the single-species analysis were confined to high 215 confidence satellites with estimated abundance in the genome at least 0.15% and monomer 216 length <1kb only.

217

# 218 2.6 Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

219

# 220 **2.6.1. Preparation of FISH probes**

221 We previously characterized Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB as the most abundant satellite DNA 222 motifs in N. furzeri and N. kadleci (Stundlová et al. 2022). For FISH, the probe covering the 223 whole monomer length 77 bp of Nfu-SatA was generated by 5' labeling with Cy3 during 224 synthesis (Generi Biotech, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic). In the same way, the probes for 225 the CI-36, CI-127, CI-260 and CI-294, characterized for the first time in the present study (see 226 below), have been prepared. In the case of Nfu-SatB (348-bp-long monomer), the clones with 227 inserts containing three adjacent tandemly arrayed Nfu-SatB monomers which were prepared 228 and verified in the previous study (Štundlová et al. 2022) were used for the FISH probe 229 preparation. The entire plasmids were labeled by nick translation using a Cy3 NT Labeling Kit 230 (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany). For the final probe mixture preparation, 250–500 ng of 231 labeled plasmid and 12.5–25 µg of sonicated salmon sperm DNA (Sigma-Aldrich) were applied 232 per slide. The final hybridization mixtures for each slide (15 µL) were prepared according to 233 Sember et al. (2015).

234

#### 235 2.6.2. Standard FISH analysis

Single-color FISH experiments with Nfu-SatB probe were carried out following Sember et al.
(2015) (slide pre-treatment, probe/chromosomes denaturation and hybridization conditions)
and Yano et al. (2017) (post-hybridization washing), with modifications described in Štundlová
et al. (2022). Briefly, following standard pre-treatment steps, chromosomes were denatured in
75% formamide in 2× SSC (pH 7.0) (Sigma-Aldrich) at 72 °C for 3 min. The hybridization

mixture was denatured at 86 °C for 6 min. The hybridization took place overnight (17–24h) at 37 °C in a moist chamber. Subsequently, non-specific hybridization was removed twice in 1× SSC (pH 7.0) (65 °C, 5 min each) and once in 4× SSC in 0.01% Tween 20 (42 °C, 5 min), followed by washing in 1× PBS (1 min). Slides were dehydrated in an ethanol series (70%, 80%, and 96%, 2 min each) and then mounted in anti-fade containing 1.5  $\mu$ g/mL DAPI (Cambio, Cambridge, UK).

247

# 248 2.6.3. Non-denaturing FISH (ND-FISH)

Remaining five satDNA probes (Nfu-SatA, CI-36, CI-127, CI-260 and CI-294; more details 249 250 provided in section 3.2) were mapped using ND-FISH according to Cuadrado and Jouve (2010) 251 with some modifications. Briefly, a total of 30 µL of hybridization mixture containing 2 pmol/µL 252 of oligonucleotides (labeled at 5' end with Cy3) in 2× SSC were used per slide. Then the 253 mixture was denatured at 80 °C for 5 min and immediately placed on ice. After that, the 254 denatured hybridization mixture was transferred into the slides with neither pre-treatment steps 255 nor chromosome denaturation. After two hours of hybridization at room temperature (RT), the 256 slides were washed with 4× SSC 0.2% Tween-20 at RT and shaking for 10 min, followed by 5 257 min washing in 4× SSC 0.1% Tween-20 also at RT and shaking. Chromosome preparations 258 were then passed through ethanol series (70%, 80% and 96%, 3 min each) and then air dried. 259 Chromosomes were counterstained with 20 µL of DABCO anti-fade (1,4-diazabicyclo(2.2.2)-260 octane containing 0.2 µg/mL DAPI (both Sigma-Aldrich) or in anti-fade containing 1.5 µg/mL 261 DAPI Cambio, Cambridge, UK).

262

# 263 2.7. Microscopic analyses and image processing

Images from all cytogenetic methods were captured using a BX53 Olympus microscope equipped with an appropriate fluorescence filter set and coupled with a black and white CCD camera (DP30W Olympus). Images were acquired for each fluorescent dye separately using DP Manager imaging software (Olympus), which was further used also to superimpose the digital images with the pseudocolors (red for CMA<sub>3</sub> and green for DAPI in case of fluorescence staining; blue for DAPI and red for Cy3 in case of FISH). Composite images were then optimized and arranged using Adobe Photoshop, version CS6.

At least 20 chromosome spreads per individual and method were analyzed. Chromosomes were classified according to Levan et al. (1964), but modified as m – metacentric, sm – submetacentric, st – subtelocentric, and a – acrocentric, where st and a chromosomes were scored together into st-a category.

- 275
- 276
- 277

#### 278 3. Results

279

#### 280 3.1 Cytogenetics

#### 281 Basic karyotype characteristics

282 Individuals from all studied species displayed mostly the same 2n and highly similar proportion 283 of chromosome categories as previously reported (Reichwald et al. 2009, 2015; Krysanov and 284 Demidova 2018). The only exception was *N. ocellatus*, where we recorded 2n = 32 with the 285 karyotype being composed exclusively of monoarmed (st-a) chromosomes, in contrast to 286 previously reported 2n = 30 with one chromosome pair being large metacentric (Krysanov and 287 Demidova 2018). The individuals studied by Krysanov and Demidova (2018) were later found 288 to be members of a newly described closely related species N. matanduensis (Watters et al. 289 2020) (S. Simanovsky, pers. commun.). Finally, in line with the previous reports (Ewulonu et 290 al. 1985, Krysanov and Demidova 2018), Fundulosoma thierryi and N. guentheri possessed male heterogametic X1X1X2X2/X1X2Y multiple sex chromosome system manifested by different 291 292 chromosome counts between males and females (males had one chromosome less) and 293 particularly in *N. guentheri* the male-limited neo-Y chromosome was discernible as the only 294 large sm/st element in the complement.

295

#### 296 Distribution and composition of constitutive heterochromatin

297 Amount of constitutive heterochromatin varied among the studied Nothobranchius spp. 298 (Supplementary Fig. 1). The outgroup taxon F. thierryi together with Nothobranchius species 299 from the Southern clade possessed generally more heterochromatin segments than N. 300 ocellatus and species from the Coastal clade where the narrow C-bands were confined mostly 301 to the (peri)centromeric regions of overwhelming majority (N. cardinalis, N. ocellatus), two-302 thirds (N. rubripinnis), about half (N. eggersi, N. guentheri) or several chromosomes (N. 303 foerschi) of the complement. Within the chromosome complements of N. cardinalis, N. 304 guentheri and N. rubripinnis, the largest metacentric chromosome pair either lacked or had 305 unremarkable/ notably smaller C-bands compared to the remainder of the chromosome set 306 (Supplementary Fig. 1J–M). In N. foerschi, the largest metacentric chromosome pair 307 possessed a distinct (peri)centromeric C-band, while the second largest metacentric pair 308 displayed only tiny heterochromatin block (Supplementary Fig. 11). By contrast, majority of 309 large biarmed chromosomes in species of the Southern clade possessed large 310 heterochromatin segments (see below). In addition to (peri)centromeric bands, 311 heterochromatin accumulations were present on the short arms of several chromosomes in N. 312 eggersi. In males of N. guentheri, neo-Y sex chromosome bore an apparent C-banded region 313 on its long arms Supplementary Fig. 1J, arrowhead). The other species with known  $X_1X_2Y$ 314 multiple sex chromosome system (F. thierryi) did not show any exceptional C-banding pattern

315 on these sex chromosomes. Four st-a chromosomes in F. thierryi displayed remarkable 316 heterochromatin blocks covering their short arms. In the Southern clade, N. orthonotus and N. 317 kuhntae featured the highest amount and diversity of heterochromatin blocks which were 318 distributed on multiple regions across the chromosome complement. This observation is 319 consistent with large (peri)centromeric regions found previously in closely related N. furzeri 320 and *N. kadleci* (Štundlová et al. 2022; see Supplementary Fig. 2A, B for comparison). On the 321 other hand, chromosomes of *N. pienaari*, *N. krysanovi* and *N. rachovii* bore almost exclusively 322 (peri)centromeric bands of variable lengths, some of them being remarkably large 323 (Supplementary Fig. 1D–F). In the species with almost exclusively biarmed (metacentric or 324 submetacentric) chromosomes and low 2n, namely N. krysanovi and N. rachovii, some 325 (peri)centromeres were arranged as two large adjacent blocks. N. krysanovi also displayed 326 additional interstitial heterochromatin blocks on several chromosomes. In N. rachovii only two 327 large submetacentric chromosomes possessed very tiny interstitial bands in addition to 328 (peri)centromeric ones.

329 Fluorescent staining revealed, besides few predominantly DAPI<sup>+</sup> (AT-rich) bands (e.g., 330 in *F. thierryi*, *N. orthonotus*), variable amount and distribution of CMA<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (GC-rich) regions. Five 331 species (F. thierryi, N. pienaari, N. krysanovi and N. foerschi) displayed just one pair of clear 332 terminal or interstitial signals, highly likely overlapping with major ribosomal DNA (rDNA) 333 cluster (cf. Sember et al. 2015 and references therein). Similar signals were revealed also on 334 the neo-Y and at least one X chromosome of *N. guentheri* (Supplementary Fig. 3J). Several 335 *N. quentheri* chromosomes also featured additional tiny centromeric signals on at least four 336 chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. 3J, K). In N. rachovii, terminal CMA<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> signals were 337 observed on the short arms of the smallest acrocentric chromosome pair, and at least four 338 large metacentrics/submetacentrics had a tiny centromeric signal (Supplementary Fig. 3F). N. 339 ocellatus and N. eggersi bore up to seven and up to four signals, respectively. N. cardinalis 340 and N. rubripinnis shared the CMA<sub>3</sub> pattern in the way that (peri)centromeres of all 341 chromosomes were GC-rich except for the one pair of large metacentric chromosomes. Finally, 342 almost all chromosome pairs in N. orthonotus and N. kuhntae had GC-rich (peri)centromeres, 343 similarly to patterns found in *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci* (Stundlová et al. 2022; Supplementary 344 Fig. 2C, D).

345

# 346 **3.2 Identification of candidate repeats**

The comparative analysis of tandem repeats in representatives of the Southern (*N. furzeri, N. kadleci, N. orthonotus, N. rachovii*) and Coastal (*N. guentheri, N. rubripinnis*) clades revealed in total 21 high confidence satellites with various abundances (Table 2). The two most abundant tandem repeats, namely Cl-11 and Cl-26, were the previously studied putative centromeric repeats Nfur-SatB and Nfur-SatA, respectively. Besides *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*, 352 these clusters were also enriched in *N. orthonotus*, however, limited or completely missing in 353 N. rachovii, N. guentherii and N. rubripinnis, suggesting existence of different motifs in 354 centromeres of these species. Interestingly, satellites CI-36 and CI-294 showed the opposite 355 pattern, as they were present in *N. rubripinnis* and *N. guentherii* but missing in the rest of the 356 surveyed taxa. Single-species analysis with more stringent criteria (estimated abundance in the genome at least 0.15%, monomer length <1kb) confirmed these results. Besides already 357 358 identified markers, one more abundant satDNA (CI-127) was identified to be shared by multiple 359 species and was therefore included in further analysis, as well as CI-260, which showed a high 360 number of similarity hits with the above mentioned Nfu-SatA (Cl-26) (Table 3).

Intriguingly, we found a putative CENP-B box in Nfu-SatB repeat. More specifically, a
12bp-long motif (CTTCGTNNNANA) which is highly similar to 17bp-long human core CENP-B
recognition sequence (NTTCGNNNNANNCGGGN) (cf. Suntronpong et al. 2016). This finding
along with the length of Nfu-SatB motif (348 bp; i.e. approx. twice the length of the nucleosome
unit) suggests a possible role of Nfu-SatB in centromere function (Talbert and Henikoff 2020).
In contrast to these findings, Nfu-SatA and Cl-36 satellites lacked the mentioned features.

368Table 2. High confidence satellites identified by comparative analysis with369RepeatExplorer2. Markers selected for physical mapping are indicated in bold.

Satallita	Monomer	CC (9()		Αν	g reads	per rep	olica		Notos
Satemite	(bp)	GC (%)	NFU	NKA	NOR	NRA	NGU	NRU	Notes
CI-11	348	41.1	2,933	1,508	643	50	23	9	Previously identified Nfu-SatB*
CI-26	77	63.6	560	2,533	369	0	2	0	Previously identified Nfu-SatA*
CI-28	169	36.1	466	164	4,700	34	18	50	
CI-36	48	45.8	0	0	0	0	195	2,107	Specific for Coastal clade
CI-97	93	60.2	398	1,240	262	42	521	233	
CI-127	39	20.5	1,160	836	19	21	14	28	High abundance in multiple species
CI-147	349	60.2	62	313	119	108	33	996	
CI-156	49	65.3	1,261	217	30	0	0	0	
CI-187	84	31	763	214	86	207	178	68	
CI-238	24	58.3	556	27	3	2	13	7	
CI-260	76	57.9	0	512	2	0	0	0	Similarity hits with Nfu-SatA (Cl- 26)
CI-274	662	46.8	1	0	10	163	266	2	
CI-278	21	19	304	20	56	14	17	18	
CI-286	20	45	0	0	356	0	1	4	
CI-294	63	31.7	0	0	0	0	193	137	Specific for Coastal clade
CI-297	691	42.1	87	46	67	45	35	37	
CI-310	976	40.1	47	31	40	48	41	61	
CI-327	980	37.3	49	42	29	37	29	41	

CI-335	625	43.3	0	0	208	0	0	0	
CI-342	580	36.9	39	27	29	20	39	39	
CI-367	490	44.3	27	15	16	29	33	44	

370 \*Reichwald et al. 2009; Štundlová et al. 2022

# 372 Table 3. Species-specific analysis of the most abundant satellites (abundance >0.15%

373 of the genome, monomer length < 1kb). Markers selected for physical mapping are indicated

in bold.

Species	Cluster	Satellite ID (from comparative analysis)	Notes				
N. furzeri	NFU-1	CI-11	16	Previously identified Nfu-SatB*			
	NFU-12	CI-26	3.5	Previously identified Nfu-SatA*			
	NFU-7	CI-127	0.48				
	NFU-8	CI-156	0.47				
	NFU-29	CI-238	0.27				
N. kadleci	NKA-1	CI-26	9.6	Previously identified Nfu-SatA*			
	NKA-2	CI-11	7.5	Previously identified Nfu-SatB*			
	NKA-15	CI-97	0.48				
	NKA-34	CI-127	0.34				
N. orthonotus	NKA-59	CI-11	2.3	Previously identified Nfu-SatB*			
	NKA-1	CI-28	2.2				
	NKA-24	CI-26	0.41	Previously identified Nfu-SatA*			
N. rachovii		No satell	ites fitting the criteria were	identified			
N. guentheri	NGU-94	CI-36	0.18				
N. rubripinnis	NRU-1	CI-36	2				
	NRU-23	CI-147	0.37				

375 \*Reichwald et al. 2009; Štundlová et al. 2022

376

# 377 **3.3 Physical mapping of satDNA**

378 FISH with Nfu-SatA (CI-26) probe revealed detectable clusters only in N. orthonotus and N. 379 kuhntae (Supplementary Fig. 4B–D). All signals were restricted to (peri)centromeric regions of 380 almost all chromosomes, corroborating patterns found in N. furzeri and N. kadleci (Štundlová 381 et al. 2022; Supplementary Fig. 2E, F). Whilst all N. kuhntae individuals shared the same 382 pattern (i.e., all but one chromosome pair carrying the signal; Supplementary Fig. 4D), 383 individuals of N. orthonotus displayed site-number variability, with the number of chromosomes 384 lacking the signal being either four (1 male), five (1 male, 1 female), or six (two males, one 385 female) chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. 4B-C).

Detectable clusters of Nfu-SatB (Cl-11) were found in (peri)centromeric regions of all chromosomes in *N. orthonotus*, *N. kuhntae* (i.e. the same pattern as in *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*; Štundlová et al. 2022 and Supplementary Fig. 2G, H), and in (peri)centromeric or terminal regions of about one-third of the chromosome complement in *N. pienaari* 

<sup>371</sup> 

(Supplementary Fig. 5B–D). Besides these species of Southern clade, we also found clear
hybridization patterns in three species of Coastal clade. Individuals of *N. eggersi* showed four
signals placed terminally on short arms of st-a chromosomes. *N. foerschi* and *N. cardinalis*each carried one pair of st-a chromosomes with (peri)centromeric signals. The pair was smallsized in *N. cardinalis* and among the largest in *N. foerschi*. The Nfu-SatB loci in *N. foerschi*coincided with CMA<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> sites (compare Supplementary Figs 3I and 5I).

Satellite repeat CI-36 was detected only in three species of Coastal clade: *N. rubripinnis*(from which it was isolated), *N. foerschi* and *N. guentheri* (Supplementary Fig. 6K, L, N). The
repeat clusters were located exclusively in the (peri)centromeric regions but none of the
mentioned species possessed them in all chromosomes. Studied *N. foerschi* and *N. guentheri*males displayed 12 and 16 signals, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 6K, L). In *N. rubripinnis*,
22 out of 36 chromosomes bore the signal (Supplementary Fig. 6N).

The second satellite limited to *N. rubripinnis* and *N. guentheri* (CI-294) was hybridized in both these species, however, signals were detected only on the long arms of four chromosomes in *N. rubripinnis* (Supplementary Fig. 7A, B). The lack of signal in *N. guentheri* could be explained either by its abundance being below the FISH detection threshold, or by different organization of this repeat in the genome.

407 CI-127, shared by *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*, was present in both sexes of these species,
408 but no positive FISH signals were observed in *N. orthonotus* (Supplementary Fig. 7C–E). In
409 both species, signals were localized in the long arm of two pairs of chromosomes in both males
410 and females.

The last hybridized marker was CI-260, bearing similarity hits with Nfu-SatA (CI-26). Positive signals from this satDNA were observed in all centromeres in both sexes of *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*. The only difference in the signal pattern between these two species was related to additional prominent signals located terminally on the short arms of two (*N. furzeri*) and four (*N. kadleci*) chromosomes, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 7F, G).



417

418

419 Figure 1: Phylogenetic relationships and patterns of selected repetitive DNA in inspected 420 Nothobranchius species. Simplified phylogenetic tree is based on van der Merwe et al. (2021). 421 Colored circles represent positive FISH signals in different chromosomal locations. The size of 422 the circles reflects the abundance in the genome for respective satDNA. Abundance in the 423 genome (%) is set as ranges. Lack of positive signals after FISH is demarcated by empty 424 circles. Black crosses indicate that a given satDNA was not physically mapped in the particular 425 species. Note that abundance in the genome might not perfectly correlate with chromosomal 426 distribution revealed by physical mapping, because some portion of respective tandem repeats 427 may be present in low-copy clusters undetectable by FISH. Species which were subject to 428 RepeatExplorer2 analysis are shown in bold. Numbers in grey circles in the phylogenetic tree 429 denote distinct Nothobranchius clades: 1) Southern Clade: 2) Ocellatus Clade: 3) Coastal 430 Clade

#### 431 4. Discussion

In the present study, we performed a comparative cytogenetic and bioinformatic analyses of
satellite DNA across the species of Southern and Coastal clade of the killifish genus *Nothobranchius* to reveal dynamics of repeats associated with centromeres and possible role
of meiotic drive in their turnover.

436 Our results showed that the outgroup *F. thierryi* as well as *Nothobranchius* spp. of the 437 Southern clade, namely N. orthonotus, N. kuhntae, N. pienaari, and N. rachovii, have in general 438 more C-banded heterochromatin than representatives of the Coastal clade and their outgroup 439 *N. ocellatus.* The presence of extended C-banded (peri)centromeric heterochromatin regions 440 in large metacentric chromosomes of *N. pienaari*, *N. krysanovi* and *N. rachovii* (Supplementary 441 Fig. 1D–F) is consistent with our previous findings in the remaining Southern-clade species, 442 N. furzeri and N. kadleci (Štundlová et al. 2022 and Supplementary Fig. 2A, B) where we 443 reported large amounts of (peri)centromeric heterochromatin in almost all chromosomes of the 444 complement. By contrast, our present study shows only narrow C-bands in (peri)centromeric 445 regions of majority of chromosomes in N. cardinalis, N. ocellatus, and N. rubripinnis 446 (Supplementary Fig. 1G, L, M), and in about half-to-several chromosomes in *N. eggersi*, *N.* 447 foerschi and N. guentheri (Supplementary Fig. 1H–J). Interestingly, with the sole exception of 448 one chromosome pair in *N. foerschi*, large metacentric chromosomes originating from fusions 449 either lacked or had unremarkable or notably smaller C-bands than other chromosomes in 450 Coastal-clade species (N. cardinalis, N. foerschi, N. quentheri and N. rubripinnis). These 451 findings indicate differences in mechanisms underpinning direction of karyotype change 452 between Southern-clade and Coastal-clade killifishes.

To characterize the satDNA evolution, we sequenced and analyzed short reads of *N*. *guentheri*, *N. kadleci*, *N. orthonotus*, *N. rachovii* and *N. rubripinnis* together with data available for the model species *N. furzeri*. In total, the RepeatExplorer2 comparative analysis revealed 21 satellite sequences. We have analyzed the distribution of the most abundant satellites in all species (Nfu-SatA, Nfu-SatB, CI-36 and CI-127) and two additional markers (CI-260 sharing similary with Nfu-SatA and CI-294 specific for the Coastal clade) across both clades and the outgroups by FISH.

460 Štundlová et al. (2022) reported two satDNA motifs, Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB, 461 previously identified in the *N. furzeri* strains (Reichwald et al. 2009, 2015) to be the most 462 abundant repeat types in both the *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci* genomes. Both Nfu-SatA and Nfu-463 SatB were mapped to (peri)centromeric constitutive heterochromatin blocks of varying sizes in 464 these two sister species (see also Supplementary Fig. 2 C–F). Our results suggest that Nfu-465 SatA is restricted only to the *N. furzeri* lineage as it is present, although in lower abundance, also in (peri)centromeric regions of almost all chromosomes in *N. orthonotus* and *N. kuhntae*(Supplementary Fig. 4B–D). Lastly, the satellite CI-260, was detected in the (peri)centromeric
regions of all chromosomes in *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci* only (Supplementary Fig. 7F, G). While
CI-260 is highly likely a new sequence variant of Nfu-SatA, specific for *N. kadleci* genome only
(Table 2), its high sequence similarity with Nfu-SatA was apparently responsible for observing
a positive hybridization also in (peri)centromeres of *N. furzeri*.

472 Nfu-SatB was also detected in (peri)centromeric regions of all chromosomes in N. 473 orthonotus and N. kuhntae (Supplementary Fig. 5B, C). However, it was further present in 474 detectable amounts also in N. pienaari as well as N. eggersi, N. foerschi, and N. cardinalis of 475 the Coastal clade (Supplementary Fig. 5D, H, I, K). The Nfu-SatB signals were located 476 terminally on the short arms of two chromosome pairs in N. eggersi, while they resided in 477 (peri)centromeric regions of about one-third of the complement in N. pienaari and one 478 chromosome pair of each N. foerschi and N. cardinalis. Observed pattern is consistent with 479 the "library" hypothesis (Fry and Salser 1977, Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2016) as Nfu-SatB seems to 480 be shared across Nothobranchius spp. but it got amplified and associated with centromeres in 481 the *N. furzeri* lineage of the Southern clade.

482 While Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB were found restricted to Southern clade species, 483 satellites CI-36 and CI-294 mirrored this pattern as they were detected in the Coastal clade 484 only. The CI-294 was localized on the long arms of only four chromosomes in N. rubripinnis 485 and could not have been detected by FISH on chromosomes of *N. guentheri* (Supplementary 486 Fig. 7A, B). However, CI-36 was successfully mapped in three representatives of the Coastal 487 clade (Supplementary Fig. 6K, L, N). The hybridization signals were detected exclusively in 488 the (peri)centromeric regions of majority but not all chromosomes in N. rubripinnis, N. foerschi, 489 and *N. quentheri*. Corroborating the C- and fluorescent-banding patterns, CI-36 clusters were 490 absent in (peri)centromeres of some large metacentric chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. 6K. 491 N). This observation is analogous to previously reported satellite-free centromeres, which 492 emerged upon Robertsonian fusions in zebras (Cappelletti et al. 2022).

493 Interestingly, none of the above tested markers was detected in centromeres of N. 494 rachovii (Supplementary Figs. 3F, 4F, 5F, 6H) and the RepeatExplorer2 analysis failed to 495 identify any potentially centromeric satellites in this species (Tab. 2, Tab. 3). Since blocks of 496 (peri)centromeric heterochromatin (Supplementary Fig. 1F), visible on most N. rachovii 497 chromosomes, suggest the presence of tandem arrays rather than satellite-free centromeres, 498 a possible explanation might be that microsatellites are the involved sequences in this case. 499 Centromeric localization of short repeat motifs has been described before in various organisms 500 (e.g. Kim et al. 2002, Chang et al. 2008) and their presence could escape RepeatExplorer2 501 analysis as this tool is known to omit low complexity sequences (Novák et al. 2020).

502 Differences between X- and Y-linked (peri)centromeric heterochromatin comprising 503 Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB were reported in N. furzeri and N. kadleci, with the Y-linked 504 heterochromatin being considerably reduced (Štundlová et al. 2022). It was hypothesized that 505 this is due to absence of centromere drive on the Y chromosome as it is never transmitted via 506 female meiosis (cf. Yoshida and Kitano 2012; Pokorná et al. 2014). Identification of putative 507 centromeric repeat in the Coastal clade potentially presents an opportunity to test this 508 hypothesis as N. guentheri has a multiple sex chromosome system of the  $X_1X_2Y$  type, in which 509 neo-Y and one of the X chromosomes can be identified by CMA<sub>3</sub> staining (Supplementary Fig. 510 3J). However, FISH with Nru-Sat1 failed to detect any satDNA clusters on both the neo-Y and 511 the CMA<sub>3</sub>-positive X chromosome.

512 It was hypothesized that karyotype evolution is driven by meiotic drive in many animal 513 lineages (Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Sapienza 2001, Blackmon et al. 2019), including fishes 514 (Yoshida and Kitano 2012, Molina et al. 2014), particularly by a nonrandom segregation of 515 rearranged chromosome in female meiosis of heterokaryotypes, due to inherent asymmetry of 516 female meiosis and polarity of a meiotic spindle. Stronger spindles should bind bigger 517 centromeres (Chmátal et al. 2014, Akera et al. 2019, Kursel and Malik 2018, Kumon and 518 Lampson 2022). Yet the direction of the nonrandom segregation is not set in stone. Reversals 519 of spindle polarity supposedly occurred in many phylogenetic groups, which could explain 520 differences in trends in karyotype evolution between related taxa (Pardo-Manuel de Villena 521 and Sapienza 2001, Yoshida and Kitano 2012, Blackmon et al. 2019). It is tempting to 522 speculate that in *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci*, the egg has a stronger spindle pole than in the other 523 species under study, as they have considerably larger (peri)centromeric heterochromatin 524 blocks comprising Nfu-SatA and Nfu-SatB in all chromosomes but the Y chromosome. 525 Interestingly, in both *N. furzeri* and *N. kadleci* the large blocks of (peri)centric heterochromatin coincide with higher numbers of chromosome arms, but not with different number of 526 527 chromosomes than expected when compared to karyotypes of other Nothobranchius spp. 528 (Krysanov and Demidova 2018). It suggests that evolution of satellite DNA in Nothobranchius 529 species is associated either with intrachromosomal rearrangements or centromere 530 repositioning, i.e. inactivation of an existing centromere and de novo formation of a new one 531 elsewhere on the chromosome (cf. Amor et al. 2004, Cappelletti et al. 2022).

532 To conclude, our cytogenetic and bioinformatic data suggests that centromere drive 533 operates in *Nothobranchius* killifishes and shape their karyotypes. Reversal of spindle polarity 534 probably occurred in the Southern clade and changed direction of the drive. Further research 535 is needed to parse causes and consequences of karyotype evolution in the killifishes.

537 Acknowledgements: We would like to thank B. Nagy, A. Nikiforov and H. Hengstler for
538 providing part of the study material and A. Nikiforov for his help in breeding and keeping fishes.
539 We are also grateful to P. Šejnohová for the laboratory assistance.

540

541 Funding: This study was supported by The Czech Science Foundation (grant no. 19-22346Y 542 (JŠ, PN, KL, AV, TP, MA, ŠP, MJ, AS) and further by RVO:67985904 of IAPG CAS, Liběchov 543 (Czech Academy of Sciences) (MA, ŠP, AS) and the Charles University Research Centre 544 program No. 204069 (MA). Computational resources were supplied by the project "e-545 Infrastruktura CZ" (e-INFRA LM2018140) provided within the program Projects of Large 546 Research, Development and Innovations Infrastructures and the ELIXIR-CZ project 547 (LM2018131), part of the international ELIXIR infrastructure. The funders had no role in study 548 design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

549

550 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict
 551 of interest.
 552

Availability of data and material: The following nucleotide sequences were deposited in
NCBI GenBank: XXX. All other relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting
Information file.

556

Authors' contributions: Conceptualization: AS, PN; Data curation: PN, AV, PM, MA; Formal
analysis: PN, AV; Funding acquisition: AS; Investigation: AV, KL, PM, TP, MA, JŠ, ŠP, SAS,
MJ, PN, AS; Methodology: AS, PN, AV, PM; Project administration: AS, PN; Resources: AS,
PN, MR; Supervision: AS, PN, MR; Validation: AV, PM, AS, PN, MA; Writing original draft: AV,
AS, PN, PM; Writing—review & editing: PN, AS, AV, PM, MA, JŠ, MR, SAS, CE.

562

563 Ethics approval: To prevent fish suffering, all handling of fish individuals followed European 564 standards in agreement with §17 of the Act No. 246/1992 Coll. The procedures involving fishes 565 were supervised by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Animal 566 Physiology and Genetics CAS, v.v.i., and the supervisor's permit number CZ 02361 was 567 certified and issued by the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic. The experiments with 568 *N. foerschi* and *N. cardinalis* were approved by the Ethics Committee of Severtsov Institute of 569 Ecology and Evolution (Order No. 27 of November 9, 2018). For direct preparations of 570 chromosomes from the kidney, fishes were euthanized using 2-phenoxyethanol (Sigma-571 Aldrich) before organ sampling. Fin samples (a narrow strip of the caudal fin) were taken from 572 live individuals after fishes were anesthetized using MS-222 (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, 573 Germany).

# 575 **Consent to participate:** Not applicable

- 577 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable
- 578

576

- 579
- 580 6. References
- 581 Akera T, Trimm E, Lampson MA (2019) Molecular strategies of meiotic cheating by selfish
- 582 centromeres. Cell 178:1132–1144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.001</u>
- 583

Amor DJ, Bentley K, Ryan J, Perry J, Wong L, Slater H, Choo KA (2004) Human centromere
repositioning "in progress". Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:6542–6547.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.030863710</u>

587

Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data [Online].
 <a href="http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/">http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/</a>

- 590 Ávila Robledillo L, Neumann P, Koblížková A, Novák P, Vrbová I, Macas J (2020) Extraordinary
- 591 sequence diversity and promiscuity of centromeric satellites in the legume tribe Fabeae. Mol
- 592 Biol Evol 37:2341–2356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa090</u>
- Bartáková V, Reichard M, Blažek R, Polačik M, Bryja J (2015) Terrestrial fishes: rivers are
  barriers to gene flow in annual fishes from the African savanna. J Biogeogr 42:1832–1844.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12567</u>
- 596 Berois N, García G, de Sá, RO, editors. Annual fishes: life history strategy, diversity and 597 evolution. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2016.
- 598 Blackmon H, Justison J, Mayrose I, Goldberg EE (2019) Meiotic drive shapes rates of 599 karyotype evolution in mammals. Evolution 73:511–523. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13682</u>
- Blažek R, Polačik M, Kačer P, Cellerino A, Řežucha R, Methling C, Tomášek O, Syslová K,
  Terzibasi Tozzini E, Albrecht T et al (2017) Repeated intraspecific divergence in life span and
  aging of African annual fishes along an aridity gradient. Evolution 71:386–402.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13127</u>
- Blažek R, Polačik M, Reichard M (2013) Rapid growth, early maturation and short generation
  time in African annual fishes. Evodevo 4:1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-9139-4-24</u>
- Bertollo LAC, Cioffi MB, Moreira-Filho O (2015) Direct chromosome preparation from
  freshwater teleost fishes. In: Ozouf-Costaz C, Pisano E, Foresti F, and de Almeida-Toledo LF
  (eds) Fish cytogenetic techniques: ray-fin fishes and chondrichthyans. CRC Press, Inc,
  Endfield, pp 21–26. <u>https://doi. org/ 10. 1201/ b18534-4</u>
- 610 Bracewell R, Chatla K, Nalley MJ, Bachtrog D (2019) Dynamic turnover of centromeres drives 611 karyotype evolution in drosophila. Elife 8:1–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49002</u>
- 612 Cappelletti E, Piras FM, Sola L, Santagostino M, Abdelgadir WA, Raimondi E, Lescai F,
- 613 Nergadze SG, Giulotto E (2022) Robertsonian fusion and centromere repositioning contributed
- to the formation of satellite-free centromeres during the evolution of zebras. Mol Biol Evol 39:1–
- 615 21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msac162</u>

616 Cech JN, Peichel CL (2016) Centromere inactivation on a neo-Y fusion chromosome in
617 threespine stickleback fish. Chromosome Res 24:437–450. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-</u>
618 <u>016-9535-7</u>

Cellerino A, Valenzano DR, Reichard M (2016) From the bush to the bench: the annual
 *Nothobranchius* fishes as a new model system in biology. Biol Rev 91:511–533.
 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12183">https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12183</a>

Chang SB, Yang TJ, Datema E, Van Vugt J, Vosman B, Kuipers A, Meznikova M, Szinay D,
Klein Lankhorst R, Jacobsen E, de Jong H (2008) FISH mapping and molecular organization
of the major repetitive sequences of tomato. Chromosome Res 16:919–933.
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-008-1249-z">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-008-1249-z</a>

626 Chaves R, Adega F, Heslop-Harrison JS, Guedes-Pinto H, Wienberg J (2003). Complex 627 satellite DNA reshuffling in the polymorphic t (1; 29) Robertsonian translocation and 628 evolutionarily derived chromosomes in cattle. Chromosome Res 11:641–648. 629 <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025952507959</u>

Chmátal L, Gabriel SI, Mitsainas GP, Martínez-Vargas J, Ventura J, Searle JB, Schultz RM,
Lampson MA (2014) Centromere strength provides the cell biological basis for meiotic drive
and karyotype evolution in mice. Curr Biol 24:2295–2300.
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.017">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.017</a>

634 Conte MA, Joshi R, Moore EC, Nandamuri SP, Gammerdinger WJ, Roberts RB, Carleton KL, 635 Lien S, Kocher T (2019) Chromosome-scale assemblies reveal the structural evolution of

636 African cichlid genomes. Gigascience 8:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz030

637 Cuadrado Á, Jouve N (2010) Chromosomal detection of simple sequence repeats (SSRs)
638 using nondenaturing FISH (ND-FISH). Chromosoma 119, 495–503.
639 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-010-0273-x</u>

640 Cui R, Medeiros T, Willemsen D, Iasi LNM, Collier GE, Graef M, Reichard M, Valenzano DR
641 (2019) Relaxed selection limits lifespan by increasing mutation load. Cell 178:385–399.e20.
642 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.004</u>

643 Ewulonu UK, Haas R, Turner B (1985) A multiple sex chromosome system in the annual 644 killfish, *Nothobranchius guentheri*. Copeia 2:503–508. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1444868</u>

Ferreira IA, Poletto AB, Kocher TD, Mota-Velasco JC, Penman DJ, Martins C (2010)
Chromosome evolution in african cichlid fish: Contributions from the physical mapping of
repeated DNAs. Cytogenet Genome Res 129:314–322. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000315895</u>

Fricke R, Eschmeyer WN, Van der Laan R (eds) (2022) Eschmeyer's catalog of fishes: genera,
species, references. http:// resea rchar chive. calac ademy. org/ resea rch/ ichth yology/ catal
og/ fishc atmain. asp. Accessed 10 January 2023

Fry K, Salser W (1977) Nucleotide sequences of HS-α satellite DNA from kangaroo rat
 *Dipodomys ordii* and characterization of similar sequences in other rodents. Cell 12:1069–
 1084. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(77)90170-2</u>

Furness AI (2016) The evolution of an annual life cycle in killifish: adaptation to ephemeral
aquatic environments through embryonic diapause. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 91:796–812.
https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12194

657 Gamba R, Fachinetti D (2020) From evolution to function: two sides of the same CENP-B 658 coin?. Exp Cell Res 390:111959. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2020.111959</u>

659 Garrido-Ramos MA (2017) Satellite DNA: An evolving topic. Genes 8:230. 660 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8090230</u>

Goes CAG, dos Santos RZ, Aguiar WRC, Alves DCV, Silva DMZA. Foresti F, Oliveira C,
Utsunomia R, Porto-Foresti F (2022) Revealing the satellite DNA history in *Psalidodon* and *Astyanax* characid fish by comparative satellitomics. Front Genet 13:884072.
<u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.884072</u>

- Goes CAG, dos Santos N, Rodrigues PHM, Stornioli JHF, da Silva AB, dos Santos RZ, Vidal
  JAD, Silva DMZA, Artoni RF, Foresti F (2023) The satellite DNA catalogues of two
  Serrasalmidae (Teleostei, Characiformes): conservation of general satDNA features over 30
- 668 million years. Genes, 14:91. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14010091</u>
- Haaf T, Schmid M (1984) An early stage of ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes differentiation in *Poecilia sphenops* var. *melanistica* (Poeciliidae, Cyprinodontiformes). Chromosoma 89:37–41.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302348</u>
- Hartley G, O'Neill RJ (2019) Centromere repeats: hidden gems of the genome. Genes 10:223.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10030223">https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10030223</a>
- Henikoff S, Ahmad K, Malik HS (2001) The centromere paradox: stable inheritance with rapidly
  evolving DNA. Science 293:1098–1102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062939</u>
- Hu CK, Brunet A (2018) The African turquoise killifish: a research organism to study vertebrate
  aging and diapause. Aging Cell 17:1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12757</u>
- Ichikawa K, Tomioka S, Suzuki Y, Nakamura R, Doi K, Yoshimura J, Kumagai M, Inoue Y,
  Uchida Y, Irie N, Takeda H, Morishita S (2017) Centromere evolution and CpG methylation
  during vertebrate speciation. Nat Commun 8:1833. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01982-</u>
  <u>7</u>
- Kim NS, Armstrong KC, Fedak G, Ho K, Park NI (2002) A microsatellite sequence from the
   rice blast fungus (Magnaporthe grisea) distinguishes between the centromeres of *Hordeum vulgare* and *H. bulbosum* in hybrid plants. Genome 45:165–174. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/G01-</u>
   129
- Kligerman AD, Bloom SE (1977) Rapid chromosome preparations from solid tissues of fishes.
  J Fish Res Board Can 34:266–269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/f77-039</u>

Kretschmer R, Goes CAG, Bertollo LAC, Ezaz T, Porto-Foresti F, Toma GA, Utsunomia R,
Cioffi MB (2022) Satellitome analysis illuminates the evolution of ZW sex chromosomes of
Triportheidae fishes (Teleostei: Characiformes). Chromosoma.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-022-00768-1

Krysanov E, Demidova T, Nagy B (2016) Divergent karyotypes of the annual killifish genus
 *Nothobranchius* (Cyprinodontiformes, Nothobranchiidae). Comp Cytogenet 10:439–445.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v10i3.9863</u>

Krysanov E, Demidova T (2018) Extensive karyotype variability of African fish genus
Nothobranchius (Cyprinodontiformes). Comp Cytogenet 12:387–402.
<u>https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v12i3.25092</u>

698 Krysanov EY, Nagy B, Watters BR, Sember A, Simanovsky SA (2023) Karyotype 699 differentiation in the Nothobranchius ugandensis species group (Teleostei, 700 Cyprinodontiformes), seasonal fishes from the east African inland plateau, in the context of 701 phylogeny and biogeography. Comp Cytogenet 7:13-29. 702 https://doi.org/10.3897/compcytogen.v7.i1.97165

Kumon T, Lampson MA (2022) Evolution of eukaryotic centromeres by drive and suppression
 of selfish genetic elements. Semin Cell Dev Biol.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.03.026</u>

- Kursel LE, Malik HS (2018) The cellular mechanisms and consequences of centromere drive.
   Curr Opin Cell Biol 52:58–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2018.01.011</u>
- Levan AK, Fredga K, Sandberg AA (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52:201–220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964.tb01953.x</u>
- Mayr B, Ráb P, Kalat M (1985) Localisation of NORs and counterstain-enhanced fluorescence
  studies in *Perca fluviatilis* (Pisces, Percidae). Genetica 67:51–56.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02424460</u>
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
   reads. EMBnet.journal 17:10–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200</u>
- 715 McKinley KL, Cheeseman IM (2016). The molecular basis for centromere identity and 716 function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 17:16–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2015.5</u>
- Melters DP, Bradnam KR, Young HA, Young HA, Telis N, May MR, Ruby JG, Sebra R, Peluso
  P, Eid J, Rank D et al (2013) Comparative analysis of tandem repeats from hundreds of
  species reveals unique insights into centromere evolution. Genome Biol 14:R10.
  <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r10">https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r10</a>
- Molina WF, Martinez P a., Bertollo LAC, Bidau CJ (2014) Evidence for meiotic drive as an
  explanation for karyotype changes in fishes. Mar Genomics 15:29–34.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2014.05.001</u>
- 724 Nagy B (2018) *Nothobranchius ditte*, a new species of annual killifish from the Lake Mweru
- basin in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (Teleostei: Nothobranchiidae). Ichthyol Explor
   Freshw 28:115–134.
- 727 Nagy B, Watters BR (2021) A review of the conservation status of seasonal Nothobranchius 728 fishes (Teleostei: Cyprinodontiformes), a genus with a high level of threat, inhabiting 729 ephemeral wetland habitats in Africa. Aquat Conserv 32: 199-216. 730 https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3741

Nishihara H, Stanyon R, Tanabe H, Koga A (2021) Replacement of owl monkey centromere
satellite by a newly evolved variant was a recent and rapid process. Genes Cells 26:979–986.
https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12898

Novák P, Neumann P, Macas, J (2020) Global analysis of repetitive DNA from unassembled
sequence reads using RepeatExplorer2. Nat Protoc 15, 3745–3776.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0400-y</u>

- Pardo-Manuel De Villena F, Sapienza C (2001) Nonrandom segregation during meiosis: The
  unfairness of females. Mamm Genome 12:331–339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s003350040003</u>
- Plohl M, Meštrović N, Mravinac B (2012) Satellite DNA evolution. Genome Dyn 7:126–152.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000337122</u>
- Pokorná M, Altmanová M, Kratochvíl L (2014) Multiple sex chromosomes in the light of female
  meiotic drive in amniote vertebrates. Chromosome Res 22:35–44.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-014-9403-2</u>
- Ráb P, Roth P (1988) Cold-blooded vertebrates. In: Balicek P, Forejt J, Rubeš J (eds) Methods
  of chromosome analysis. Cytogenetická Sekce Československé Biologické Společnosti při
  CSAV, Brno, Czech Republic, pp 115–124
- Reichard M, Giannetti K, Ferreira T, Maouche A, Vrtílek M, Polačik M, Blažek R, Ferreira MG
  (2022) Lifespan and telomere length variation across populations of wild-derived African
  killifish. Mol Ecol 31:5979–5992. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16287</u>
- Reichwald K, Lauber C, Nanda I, Kirschner J, Hartmann N, Schories S, Gausmann U, Taudien
  S, Schilhabel MB, Szafranski K et al (2009) High tandem repeat content in the genome of the
  short-lived annual fish *Nothobranchius furzeri*: a new vertebrate model for aging research.
  Genome Biol 10:R16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-2-r16</u>
- Reichwald K, Petzold A, Koch P, Downie BR, Hartmann N, Pietsch S, Baumgart M, Chalopin
  D, Felder M, Bens M et al (2015) Insights into sex chromosome evolution and aging from the
  genome of a short-lived fish. Cell 163:1527–1538. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.071">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.071</a>
- Ruiz-Ruano FJ, López-León MD, Cabrero J, Camacho JPM (2016) High-throughput analysis
  of the satellitome illuminates satellite DNA evolution. Sci Rep 6:28333.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28333</u>
- Sember A, Bohlen J, Šlechtová V, Altmanová M, Symonová R, Ráb P (2015) Karyotype
  differentiation in 19 species of river loach fishes (Nemacheilidae, Teleostei): extensive
  variability associated with rDNA and heterochromatin distribution and its phylogenetic and
  ecological interpretation. BMC Evol Biol 15:251. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0532-9">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0532-9</a>
- Sola L, Rossi AR, Iaselli V, Rasch EM, Monaco PJ (1992) Cytogenetics of bisexual/unisexual
   species of *Poecilia*. II. Analysis of heterochromatin and nucleolar organizer regions in *Poecilia mexicana mexicana* by C-banding and DAPI, quinacrine, chromomycin A<sub>3</sub>, and silver staining.
   Cytogenet Cell Genet 60:229–235. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000133346</u>
- Stornioli JHF, Goes CAG, Calegari RM, dos Santos RZ, Giglio LM, Foresti F, Oliveira C,
  Penitente M, Porto-Foresti F, Utsunomia R (2021). The B chromosomes of *Prochilodus lineatus* (Teleostei, Characiformes) are highly enriched in satellite DNAs. Cells 10:1527.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10061527

772 Štundlová, J, Hospodářská M, Lukšíková K, Voleníková A, Pavlica T, Altmanová M, Richter A, 773 Reichard M, Dalíková M, Pelikánová Š, Marta, A, Simanovsky SA, Hiřman M, Jankásek M, Dvořák T, Bohlen J, Ráb P, Englert C, Nguyen P, Sember A (2022) Sex chromosome 774 775 differentiation via changes in the Y chromosome repeat landscape in African annual killifishes 776 Nothobranchius furzeri kadleci. Chromosome Res and Ν. 30:309-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-022-09707-3 777

Suntronpong A, Kugou K, Masumoto H, Srikulnath K, Ohshima K, Hirai H, Koga A (2016)
CENP-B box, a nucleotide motif involved in centromere formation, occurs in a New World
monkey. Biol Lett 12:20150817. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0817">https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0817</a>

Suntronpong A, Singchat W, Kruasuwana W, Prakhongcheep O, Sillapaprayoon S, Muangmai
N, Somyong S, Indananda C, Kraichak E, Peyachoknagul S, Srikulnath K (2020)
Characterization of centromeric satellite DNAs (MALREP) in the Asian swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*) suggests the possible origin of repeats from transposable elements. Genomics
112:3097–3107. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqeno.2020.05.024">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqeno.2020.05.024</a>

Talbert PB, Henikoff S (2020) What makes a centromere? Exp Cell Res 389:111895.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2020.111895</u>

Tao W, Xu L, Zhao L, Zhu Z, Wu X, Min Q, Wang D, Zhou Q (2021) High-quality chromosome-

189 level genomes of two tilapia species reveal their evolution of repeat sequences and sex

- 790 chromosomes. Mol Ecol Resour 21:543–560. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13273</u>
- Terzibasi Tozzini E, Cellerino A (2020) *Nothobranchius* annual killifishes. Evodevo 11:25.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13227-020-00170-x</u>
- Thakur J, Packiaraj J, Henikoff S (2021) Sequence, chromatin and evolution of satellite DNA.
  Int J Mol Sci 22:4309. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094309</u>
- The Galaxy Community (2022) The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and
   collaborative biomedical analyses: 2022 update. Nucleic Acids Research 50: W345–W351.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac247</u>

van der Merwe PDW, Cotterill FPD, Kandziora M, Watters BR, Nagy B, Genade T, Flügel TJ,
Svendsen DS, Bellstedt DU (2021) Genomic fingerprints of palaeogeographic history: the
tempo and mode of rift tectonics across tropical Africa has shaped the diversification of the
killifish genus *Nothobranchius* (Teleostei: Cyprinodontiformes). Mol Phylogenet Evol
158:106988. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106988</u>

Varadharajan S, Rastas P, Löytynoja A, Matschiner M, Calboli FCF, Guo B, Nederbragt AJ,
Jakobsen KS, Merilä J (2019) A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback
(*Pungitius pungitius*) genome. Genome Biol Evol 11:3291–3308.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz240</u>

807 Völker M, Ráb P (2015) Direct chromosome preparation from regenerating fin tissue. In: Ozouf-808 Costaz C, Pisano E, Foresti F, and de Almeida-Toledo LF (eds) Fish cytogenetic techniques: 809 fishes and chondrichthyans. CRC Press, Endfield, pp 37-41. ray-fin Inc, https://doi.org/10.1201/b18534-4 810

- 811 Watters BR, Cooper BJ, Wildekamp RH (2008) Description of *Nothobranchius cardinalis*
- spec. nov. (Cyprinodontiformes: Aplocheilidae), an annual fish from the Mbwemkuru River
  basin, Tanzania. J. Am. Killifsh Ass. 40(5&6):129–145.
- 814 Watters BR, Nagy B, van der Merwe PDW, Cotterill FPD, Bellstedt DU (2020) Redescription
- of the seasonal killifish species *Nothobranchius ocellatus* and description of a related new
- 816 species Nothobranchius matanduensis, from eastern Tanzania (Teleostei: Nothobranchiidae).
- 817 Ichthyol Explor Freshw 30:151–178. <u>http://doi.org/10.23788/IEF-1149</u>
- 818 Wildekamp RH (1996) A world of killies. Atlas of the oviparous cyprinodontiform fishes of the 819 world (Vol. III). American Killifish Association, Mishawaka, 330 pp.
- 820 Wildekamp RH (2004) A world of killies atlas of the oviparous cyprinodontiform fishes of the 821 world (Vol. 4). The American Killifish Association, Elyria, Ohio

Willemsen D, Cui R, Reichard M, Valenzano DR (2020) Intra-species differences in population
 size shape life history and genome evolution. Elife 9:e55794.
 <a href="https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55794">https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55794</a>

Yano CF, Bertollo LAC, Ezaz T, Trifonov V, Sember A, Liehr T, Cioffi MB (2017) Highly conserved Z and molecularly diverged W chromosomes in the fish genus *Triportheus* (Characiformes, Triportheidae). Heredity 118:276–283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ hdy.2016.83F</u>

- Yoshida K, Kitano J (2012) The contribution of female meiotic drive to the evolution of neo-sex
  chromosomes. Evolution 66:3198–3208. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01681.x</u>
- 830

# 853 **7. Supplementary material**





857 Supplementary Fig. 1 Mitotic metaphases of F. thierryi and Nothobranchius spp. after C-858 banding. Sex of the studied individuals is indicated and eventually underlined where both sexes 859 (if studied) presented the same distribution pattern (i.e. except for *N. guentheri*; J, K). Arrows 860 indicate examples of huge (peri)centromeric heterochromatin blocks in expected fusion sites 861 on large metacentric chromosomes in the Southern-clade species (D-F). Neo-Y chromosome 862 in N. guentheri male (J) is identified based on its distinctive morphology; full arrowhead points 863 to the heterochromatin block representing an assumed fusion site. Chromosomes stained with 864 DAPI (inverted colors). Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ 



865 866

Supplementary Fig. 2 Mitotic metaphases of N. furzeri and N. kadleci after various 867 868 cytogenetic treatments. (A, B) C-banding, (C, D), CMA<sub>3</sub>/DAPI staining, (E, F) FISH with Nfu-869 SatA probe, (G, H), FISH with Nfu-SatB probe. All metaphases are adopted from the Supplementary material of our previous work (Štundlová et al. 2022) and serve here as a direct 870 871 comparison of patterns revealed in the present study. The presented metaphases belong to 872 male representatives of the same populations as used in the present study: N. furzeri MZCS-873 222 and N. kadleci MZCS-91. Assumed Y sex chromosomes are marked if detectable. 874 Chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Images follow the same color coding as 875 the remaining supplementary figs in the present study (depending on the method used). Scale 876 bar = 10 µ





879 Supplementary Fig. 3 Mitotic metaphases of F. thierryi and Nothobranchius spp. after 880 CMA<sub>3</sub>/DAPI staining. Sex of the studied individuals is indicated and eventually underlined where 881 both sexes (if studied) presented the same distribution pattern (i.e. except for *N. guentheri*; J, K). 882 For better contrast, images were pseudocolored in red (for CMA<sub>3</sub>) and green (for DAPI). Neo-Y chromosome and one of the X chromosomes in N. guentheri male (J) are identified based on 883 884 distinctive morphology and shared strong CMA<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> signals, respectively. Both X homologs are marked in N. guentheri female (K). In N. cardinalis (L) empty arrowheads point to the only 885 886 metacentric chromosomes in the complement which are also the only elements lacking the 887 (peri)centromeric CMA<sub>3</sub> signals. Arrows point to examples of rarely observed pronounced AT-888 rich regions (A, B). Scale bar =  $10 \,\mu m$ 



890 891

892 **Supplementary Fig. 4** Mitotic metaphases of *F. thierryi* and *Nothobranchius* spp. after FISH with 893 Nfu-SatA repeat (red signals). Sex of the studied individuals is indicated and eventually 894 underlined where both sexes (if studied) presented the same distribution pattern (i.e. except for 895 *N. orthonotus*; B, C). In *N. orthonotus* (B, C), arrows point to chromosomes lacking the 896 (peri)centromeric signals. Polymorphic patterns regarding this feature are framed. Chromosomes 897 were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m



Supplementary Fig. 5 Mitotic metaphases of *F. thierryi* and *Nothobranchius* spp. after FISH with
 Nfu-SatB repeat (red signals). Sex of the studied individuals is indicated and eventually
 underlined where both sexes (if studied) presented the same distribution pattern. Chromosomes
 were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 10 µm

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. 6 Mitotic metaphases of *F. thierryi* and *Nothobranchius* spp. after FISH with
 CI-36 repeat (red signals). Sex of the studied individuals is indicated and eventually underlined
 where both sexes (if studied) presented the same distribution pattern. Neo-Y chromosome in *N. guentheri* male (L) is identified based on distinctive morphology. Chromosomes were
 counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 10 μm

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 7** Mitotic metaphases of selected *Nothobranchius* spp. after FISH with three

917 different satDNA probes (red signals and arrowheads in B–D). Sex of the studied individuals is

918 indicated and eventually underlined where both sexes (if studied) presented the same distribution

919 pattern. Chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar =  $10 \ \mu m$ 

# 921 Supplementary Table 1. A detailed list of studied *Nothobranchius* killifish species with their sample sizes (N) used for each method, 922 population/collection codes, source/geographic origin and GPS coordinates of sampling localities

# 

	Species		N								Population (collection		[	
Clade		Code	C-banding	CMA <sub>3</sub>	Nfu-SatA	Nfu-SatB	CI-127	CI-260	CI-36	CI-294	Summary	code)	Source / locality	GPS coordinates
outgroup	Fundulosoma thierryi Ahl, 1924	FTH	1♂,2♀	<b>2</b> ♀	<b>2</b> ♀	<b>2</b> ♀	-	-	3♀	-	1∂, 3♀	aquarium strain	-	-
Southern clade	Nothobranchius furzeri Jubb, 1971	NFU	*	*	*	*	1♂, 1♀	1♂, 1♀	2♂	-	1♂, 1♀	MZCS-222	Chefu, Mozambique	21°52'24.8"S 32°48'2.3"E
	N. kadleci Reichard, 2010	NKA	*	*	*	*	1♂,1♀	1♂,1♀	1්	-	1♂, 1♀	MZCS-91	Gorongosa, Mozambique	20°41'16.6"S 34°6'21.9"E
	N. orthonotus (Peters, 1844)	NOR	2♂, 3♀	1♂,1♀	3♂,3♀	1♂,1♀	1∂,2♀	-	1∂	-	3♂,3♀	MZCS-02	Limpopo, Mozambique	24°03'48.5"S 32°43'55.9"E
	N. kuhntae (Ahl, 1926)	NKU	<b>4</b> ♂, 1♀	1∂,2♀	3♂, 3♀	1♂,1♀	-	-	2්	-	4∂, 3♀	MZCS-528	Pungwe, Mozambique	19°41'50.5"S 34°46'58.6"E
	N. pienaari Shidlovskyi, Watters & Wildekamp, 2010	NPI	2♂, 2♀	2♂,3♀	2්	2♂,2♀	-	-	1∂	-	2♂, 3♀	MZCS-505	Limpopo, Mozambique	23°31'47.2"S 32°34'40.6"E
	N. krysanovi Shidlovskyi, Watters & Wildekamp, 2010	NKR	2♂, 2♀	1♂, 2♀	1♂, 2♀	1♂, 2♀	-	-	1්	-	<b>2</b> ♂, <b>2</b> ♀	aquarium strain; MZCS-249	Quelimane, Mozambique	17°48'52.2"S 36°54'49.4"E
	N. rachovii Ahl, 1926	NRA	2♂, 2♀	1♂,1♀	1♂, 1♀	1♂,1♀	-	-	1∂	-	2♂, 2♀	MZCS-096	Beira Airport, Mozambique	19°48'48.8"S 34°54'17.6"E
Ocellatus clade	N. ocellatus (Seegers, 1985)	NOC	1♂, 1♀	1∂', 1♀	1∂,1♀	1♂, 1♀	-	-	1♂, 1♀	-	1∂, 1♀	population mix	Nyamwage, Tanzania	-
Coastal clade	N. eggersi Seegers, 1982	NEG	2♂,1♀	1♂,1♀	2♂, 1♀	1♂,1♀	-	-	2♂,1♀	-	<b>2</b> ♂, 1♀	T52	Bagamoyo, Tanzania	6°28'55.9"S 38°54'51.5"E
	N. foerschi Wildekamp & Berkenkamp, 1979	NFO	2්	2්	2්	2්	-	-	2ೆ	-	2	CI 57	Soga, Tanzania	6°50'13.2"S 38°50'45.6"E
	N. guentheri (Pfeffer, 1983)	NGU	3♂,3♀	4∂,2♀	1♂,2♀	1♂,2♀	-	-	2	2♂,1♀	4♂, 3♀	aquarium strain	Zanzibar, Tanzania	-
	N. cardinalis Watters, Cooper & Wildekamp, 2008	NCA	1්	1ð	1ð	1∂	-	-	13	-	1∂	TTKSN 17-12	Matandu, Tanzania	9°30'04.0"S 38°13'49.0"E
	N. rubripinnis Seegers, 1986	NRU	1♂,1♀	1∂,2♀	<b>2</b> ♀	2්	-	-	2♂,2♀	1∂, 2♀	2♂,2♀	T33	Kitonga, Tanzania	7°12'40.5"S 39°10'30.9"E